

Wednesday, September 28, 2005



Non-Automobile Gasoline Engines Workgroup Meeting Held on Tuesday, September 13th, 2005 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP)

33 Arctic Parkway, Ewing, NJ Meeting called by: Sandy Krietzman Facilitator: Stella Ononiwu

Attendees:

- 1. Sandy Krietzman, NJDEP
- 2. Stella Ononiwu, NJDEP
- 3. Tony Iavarone, NJDEP
- 4. Angela Skowronek, NJDEP
- 5. Jim Arose, New Jersey Motor Vehicle Commission (NJMVC)
- 6. Dennis Blazak, Naval Air Engineering Station (NAES) (by phone)
- 7. Melissa Danko, Marine Trade Association of New Jersey (MTANJ) (by phone)
- 8. Ken Erick, New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT)
- 9. Roger Gault, Engine Manufacturers Association (by phone)
- 10. John McKnight, National Marine Manufacturers Association (NMMA) (by phone)

Materials:

- 1. Non-Automotive Gasoline Engines Workgroup Draft Proposal table
- 2. Attendance sign-in sheet
- 3. Minutes from August 16, 2005 meeting, pdf file available at http://www.nj.gov/dep/airworkgroups/offroad workgroup.html
- 4. Agenda, pdf file available at http://www.nj.gov/dep/airworkgroups/offroad-workgroup.html

Introduction/Announcements

- All participants introduced themselves.
- There was a review of the meeting agenda and minutes from the August 16th, 2005 workgroup meeting.
- There were no comments on the minutes and no key developments since the previous meeting.
- Workgroup members were reminded that the goal is to wrap up all suggested measures by September 30th,
 2005 and the report completed and submitted to NJDEP by October 31st, 2005.

Overview

- This was the final in-person meeting of the workgroup. The focus of the meeting was on reviewing and ranking of all the measures suggested by the workgroup.
- A draft of the workgroup report was also reviewed.

Discussion: Review and ranking of workgroup's potential control measures

Topic 1: Accelerated Equipment Turnover Programs

Discussion:

- A participant suggested that NJDEP consider monetary incentives for replacement programs to spur engine turnover.
- A participant suggested that EPA grants be considered as a funding source as these programs carry huge administrative burden. Also, revenue from Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP) could be used as another funding source and contractors can be hired to develop the program to ease the administrative burden.
- Some participants were concerned that engine turnover programs will incur huge disposal costs.

Conclusion: There were eight measures in this category. The workgroup ranked five as high priority and one as medium priority. The remaining two measures were believed to have more cons than pros.

Action Items/Person(s) responsible/Deadline:

i. NJDEP will update the draft proposal table with the ranking and send out to the workgroup.

Topic 2: Modification of Activities/Operations

Discussion:

- There were strong oppositions to some of the measures under this category. Participants were reminded that they could submit "white papers" on measures they feel strongly about.
- The workgroup had conflicting opinions on the language of some of the measures in this category. It was unanimously agreed that the language be modified and emailed to the members for ranking.

Conclusion: The language of some of the measures will be modified and emailed to the workgroup for ranking.

Action Items/Person(s) responsible/Deadline:

i. NJDEP will modify the language of the measures that need modification and email them to the workgroup for ranking within a week.

Topic 3: Educational Programs

Discussion:

• The workgroup supported all the measures in this category except one which was determined to be outside the scope of the workgroup's effort at this time.

Conclusion: All the measures in this category were ranked as high priority except one which was considered to be outside the scope of the workgroup's effort at this time.

Action Items/Person(s) responsible/Deadline: None.

Topic 4: Best Management Practices for Fuel Handling

Discussion:

Measures in this category were reviewed and ranked by the workgroup.

Conclusion: There were five measures listed in this category. Three were ranked as high priority and two were ranked as low priority.

Action Items/Person(s) responsible/Deadline: None.

Topic 5: Other

Discussion:

- Measures in this category were reviewed and ranked by the workgroup.
- Some participants suggested that NJDEP review state operations of non-road equipment.

Action Items/Person(s) responsible/Deadline: None.

Wrap-up

- NJDEP will incorporate comments from the meeting into the draft proposal table and share with the group by Tuesday, September 20th, 2005.
- ii. An updated draft of the workgroup report will be shared with the group for comments.
- iii. This is expected to be the final in-person meeting of the workgroup. A conference call may be scheduled if needed.
- iv. Participants will send "white papers" to the workgroup to stress their positions on certain measures. The white papers will be included in the report for submission to NJDEP.

A workgroup meeting for all the workgroups will be held on November 14th, 2005 at NJDEP, 401 East State Street, Trenton, NJ. For more information, please, go to http://www.nj.gov/dep/airworkgroups/